



FILED

5-19-14

04:59 PM

EXHIBIT A

Attorney General Eric Holder
U.S. Department of Justice
950 Pennsylvania Avenue NW
Washington, D.C. 20530-0001

Chairman Tom Wheeler
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street SW
Washington, D.C. 20554

April 8, 2014

Dear Attorney General Holder and Chairman Wheeler:

The proposed Comcast-Time Warner Cable merger would give one company enormous power over our nation's media and communications infrastructure. This massive consolidation would position Comcast as our communications gatekeeper, giving it the power to dictate the future of numerous industries across the Internet, television and telecommunications landscape.

In the last four years, Comcast has raised its basic cable rates in some of its markets by nearly 70 percent, while Time Warner Cable has actually cut costs for consumers. But the higher prices and reduced choices that this deal would bring are just the tip of the iceberg.

This merger is, at its core, about broadband, the most profitable and fastest-growing segment of the cable industry. Comcast's service area would cover almost two-thirds of the U.S., and it would be the only broadband provider that could deliver truly high-speed Internet and pay-TV services to nearly four out of every 10 U.S. homes. This union would give Comcast control over half of the nation's next-generation broadband customers and more than half of the pay-TV/Internet-bundled subscribers.

The open Internet brings the promise of meaningful competition as it greatly reduces the gatekeeper power that incumbent cable, broadcasting and studio giants like Comcast-NBCUniversal have historically wielded. But this merger — taking place in the vacuum of regulatory oversight of our broadband-communications market — would give Comcast unprecedented control over the Internet. It would also pose a grave threat to media diversity.

Comcast has repeatedly flexed its corporate and political muscles to get what it wants, even if that has meant harming competition, consumers and communities. Around the country Comcast has fought community efforts to bridge the digital divide with municipal broadband networks. It has lobbied statehouses and local governments to undermine public, educational and government access television. It has blocked its customers' Internet traffic. And it was fined for failing to fulfill the commitments it made to secure approval of its merger with NBCUniversal.

The Comcast-Time Warner Cable merger would give Comcast unthinkable gatekeeper power over our commercial, social and civic lives. Everyone from the biggest business to the smallest startup, from elected officials to everyday people, would have to cross through Comcast's gates.

Given these clear and present dangers and the complete lack of any tangible benefits, it's clear that the union of the nation's No. 1 and No. 2 cable companies is not good for competition or in the public interest. We, the undersigned, representing millions of people from every state, urge you to block this merger.

Sincerely,

Access Humboldt
Alliance for Communications Democracy
Appalshop, Inc.
Austin Airwaves
Broadband Alliance of Mendocino County
California Common Cause
Cambridge Community Television
Center for Media Justice
Chicago Media Action
Citizens for Sanity, Inc.
ColorOfChange
Committee for Media Access
Common Cause
Community Media Visioning
Consumers Union
Courage Campaign
CREDO
Daily Kos
Demand Progress
Fight for the Future
Free Press
Future of Music Coalition
Harry Potter Alliance
Holiday Design Group
Independent Arts & Media
Institute for Local Self-Reliance
International Campaign for Responsible Technology
Journalism That Matters, Inc.
Media Alliance
Media Literacy Project
Media Mobilizing Project
Media Working Group, Inc.
MoveOn.org
National Alliance for Media Arts and Culture
National Headquarters Studio
National Organization for Women
New America Foundation's Open Technology Institute
OpenMedia.org
Parents Television Council
Personal Democracy Media
PhillyCAM
Presente.org
Prometheus Radio Project
Public Knowledge
Sinsinawa Dominican Sisters' Leadership Council
Sisters of the Presentation, Dubuque, Iowa
Sports Fans Coalition
St. Paul Neighborhood Network
SumOfUs.org
TheUptake.org
TURN — The Utility Reform Network

Women In Media & News

Women's Institute for Freedom of the Press

Women's Studies Research Center at Brandeis University

Writers Guild of America East

Writers Guild of America West

EXHIBIT B

Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington D.C. 20554

May 7, 2014

Dear Chairman Wheeler and Commissioners Clyburn, Rosenworcel, Pai, and O'Reilly:

We write to express our support for a free and open internet. Over the past twenty years, American innovators have created countless Internet-based applications, content offerings, and services that are used around the world. These innovations have created enormous value for Internet users, fueled economic growth, and made our Internet companies global leaders. The innovation we have seen to date happened in a world without discrimination. An open Internet has also been a platform for free speech and opportunity for billions of users.

The Commission's long-standing commitment and actions undertaken to protect the open Internet are a central reason why the Internet remains an engine of entrepreneurship and economic growth.

According to recent news reports, the Commission intends to propose rules that would enable phone and cable Internet service providers to discriminate both technically and financially against Internet companies and to impose new tolls on them. If these reports are correct, this represents a grave threat to the Internet.

Instead of permitting individualized bargaining and discrimination, the Commission's rules should protect users and Internet companies on both fixed and mobile platforms against blocking, discrimination, and paid prioritization, and should make the market for Internet services more transparent. The rules should provide certainty to all market participants and keep the costs of regulation low.

Such rules are essential for the future of the Internet. This Commission should take the necessary steps to ensure that the Internet remains an open platform for speech and commerce so that America continues to lead the world in technology markets.

Sincerely,

Amazon
Cogent
Dropbox
Ebay
Etsy
Facebook
Foursquare
Google
Kickstarter
Level 3

LinkedIn
Lyft
Microsoft
Netflix
Reddit
Tumblr
Twitter
Vonage Holdings Corp.
Yahoo! Inc.
Zynga

2600hz, Inc.	Contextly	inXile Entertainment
2redbeans	Coursera	Kaltura
4chan	CrowdTilt	LawGives
8x8, Inc.	Cube, Co	Leaflad
Addy	dasData	LendUp
AdviserDeck	Digg	Linearair
Agile Learning Labs	Distinc.tt	Linknovate
Airdroids	DuckDuckGo	littleBits
AirHelp	Duolingo	Lucipher.net
AnalyticsMD	DynaOptics	MDDHosting LLC
Appar	Embedly	Medium
Apportable	Fandor	Meetup
AppRebates	Floor64	Meteor Development Grouo
Apptology	Flowroute	Minds + Machines
Assembly Made, Inc.	Flurry	Misk
Authentise	Fonebook	MixRank
Automattic/WordPress.com	Funeral Innovations	MobileWorks
BadgerMapping	Gandi	Motionry
Bitnami	General Assembly	MozartMedical
BitTorrent	Github	Mozilla
Blu Zone	Grid	NOTCOT Inc
CBeyond	Handy Networks	O'Reilly Media
Chirply	Haystack.tv	OfficeNinjas
Clef	Heavybit Industries	Open Materials
CloudFare	HelloSign	Open Spectrum
Codecademy	HeyZap	OpenDNS
CodeCombat	iFixit	Opera Software ASA
CodeHS	iLost	PayTango
CodeScience	Imgur	Pocket/ReaditLater
Colourful Rebel	Instapaper	Poll Everywhere, Inc

Printbot	UltiMachine
Publitas.com	Ustream
Rallyware	Vidmaker
Recrout	Volary Foundation
Redbubble	Voys Telecom
Rewheel/Digital Fuel Monitor	Waxy
Reylabs	Worldly
Rogue Labs	Xola
Shapeways	Yanomo
Sidecar	
Sift Science	
Simpolaris	
SketchDeck	
Skytree	
SlidePay, Inc	
Socialscope	
Solidoodle	
SpiderOak	
SpoonRocket	
Spotfront	
StackExchange	
StartX Stanford	
Statwing	
Tastemaker	
The Next Web	
Triggitt	
Tsumobi	
Tucows	
Twilio	
UberConference	

EXHIBIT C

Open Internet Investors Letter

The Honorable Tom Wheeler, Chairman
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington D.C. 20554

May 8, 2014

Dear Chairman Wheeler:

We write to express our support for a free and open Internet.

We invest in entrepreneurs, investing our own funds and those of our investors (who are individuals, pension funds, endowments, and financial institutions). We often invest at the earliest stages, when companies include just a handful of founders with largely unproven ideas. But, without lawyers, large teams or major revenues, these small startups have had the opportunity to experiment, adapt, and grow, thanks to equal access to the global market. As a result, some of the startups we have invested in have managed to become among the most admired, successful, and influential companies in the world.

We have made our investment decisions based on the certainty of a level playing field and of assurances against discrimination and access fees from Internet access providers. Indeed, our investment decisions in Internet companies are dependent upon the certainty of an equal-opportunity marketplace.

Based on news reports and your own statements, we are worried that your proposed rules will not provide the necessary certainty that we need to make investment decisions and that these rules will stifle innovation in the Internet sector.

If established companies are able to pay for better access speeds or lower latency, the Internet will no longer be a level playing field. Start-ups with applications that are advantaged by speed (such as games, video, or payment systems) will be unlikely to overcome that deficit no matter how innovative their service. Entrepreneurs will need to raise money to buy fast lane services before they have proven that consumers want their product. Investors will extract more equity from entrepreneurs to compensate for the risk. Internet applications will not be able to afford to create a relationship with millions of consumers by making their service freely available and then build a business over time as they better understand the value consumers find in their service (which is what Facebook, Twitter, Tumblr, Pinterest, Reddit, Dropbox and virtually other consumer Internet service did to achieve scale).

Instead, creators will have to ask permission of an investor or corporate hierarchy before they can launch. Ideas will be vetted by committees and quirky passion projects will not get a chance. An individual in dorm room or a design studio will not be able to experiment out loud on the Internet. The result will be greater conformity, fewer surprises, and less innovation.

Further, investors like us will be wary of investing in anything that access providers might consider part of their future product plans for fear they will use the same technical infrastructure to advantage their own services or use network management as an excuse to disadvantage competitive offerings. Policing this will be almost impossible (even using a standard of "commercial reasonableness") and access providers do not need to successfully disadvantage their competition; they just need to create a credible threat so that investors like us will be less inclined to back those companies.

We need simple, strong, enforceable rules against discrimination and access fees, not merely against blocking.

We encourage the Commission to consider all available jurisdictional tools at its disposal in ensuring a free and open Internet that rewards, not disadvantages, investment and entrepreneurship.

Sincerely,

Puneet Agarwal, True Ventures

Sam Altman, Y Combinator

Kristian Andersen, Gravity Ventures

Sherman Atkinson, Miramar Digital Ventures

Lynne Bairstow, MITA Institute

Phineas Barnes, First Round Capital

John Battelle, Angel Investor

Woody Benson, IoT Works

Phil Black, True Ventures

Brady Bohrmann, Avalon Ventures

Mike Brown, Jr., Bowery Capital

Douglas W. Burke, Angel Investor

Brad Burnham, Union Square Ventures

Jeffrey Bussgang, Flybridge Capital Partners

John Buttrick, Union Square Ventures

Jon Callaghan, True Ventures

Jeff Carter, Hyde Park Angels

Joe Chung, Redstar Ventures

Michael Collett, Promus Ventures

Tony Conrad, True Ventures

Ron Conway, SV Angel

Fred Coulson, Five Elms Capital

Owen Davis, NYC Seed

Tej Dhawan, Nestmint and Plains Angels

Gil Dibner, DFJ Esprit

Roger Dickey, Rocket Street Ventures

Chris Dixon, Andreessen Horowitz

Liam Donohue, .406 Ventures

Bob Dorf, Investor and Entrepreneurial Educator

Bill Draper, Draper Richards

Nicholas Eisenberger, Pure Energy Partners

Roger Ehrenberg, IA Ventures

Brad Feld, Foundry Group

Stephen Findlay, Angel Investor

Ryan Floyd, Storm Ventures

Chris Fralic, First Round Capital

Christopher Forbes, Angel Investor

David Frankel, Founder Collective

Christie George, New Media Ventures

Rob Go, Next View Ventures
Matt Golden, Golden Venture Partners
Matthew Greenfield, Rethink Education
Nick Grossman, Union Square Ventures
Bruce Hallett, Miramar Digital Ventures
Bradley C. Harrison, Scout Ventures
Rick Heitzmann, FirstMark Capital
Troy Henikoff, TechStars
Eric Hippeau, Lerer Ventures
Bob Holmen, Miramar Venture Partners
Rob Hutter, Learn Capital
Nabeel A. Hyatt, Spark Capital
Mark Jacobsen, OATV
Deborah Jackson, Angel Investor
Jodi Sherman Jahic, Aligned Partners
Boyd Jones, PreAngel Partners
Nikhil Kalghatgi, Vast Ventures
Mitch Kapor, Kapor Capital
Jon Karlen, Atlas Venture
Josh Kopelman, First Round Capital
Manu Kumar, K9 Ventures
David Lee, SV Angel
Kenneth Lerer, Lerer Ventures
Robert Levitan, Angel Investor
Adam Lilling, Plus Capital
John Lilly, Greylock Partners
Howard Lindzon, Social Leverage
Trevor Loy, Flywheel Ventures
Om Malik, True Ventures
Kanyi Maqubela, Collaborative Fund
Raj Mehta, Kilowatt Capital
Jason Mendelson, Foundry Group
Josh Mendelsohn, Hattery
Aaron Merriman, Eurovestech PLC
Ann Miura-Ko, Floodgate
Blake Modersitzki, Pelion Venture Partners
Howard Morgan, First Round Capital
Dave Morin, Slow Ventures
Dave Moylan, Yenni Capital
Kevin Murphy, Angel Investor

David J. Namdar, SolidX Partners
Farzad (Zod) Nazem, Angel Investor
Jason Neal, Jumpstart Capital
Jerry Neumann, Neu.vc
Tim O'Reilly, OATV
Alexis Ohanian, Initialized Capital
David Pakman, Venrock
Eric Paley, Founder Collective
Andrew Parker, Spark Capital
Massimiliano Pellegrini, Angel Investor
William Peng, Red Swan Ventures
Matt Penneycard, PCB Capital
Perry Rahbar, Rahbar Angel
Sameer Rashid, Pure Energy Partners
Naval Ravikant, AngelList
Eric Ries, Angel Investor & Author
Neil Rimer, Index Ventures
David Ristow, Eurovestech PLC
Bryce Roberts, OATV
James Robinson, RRE Ventures
John Ruffolo, OMERS Ventures
Chris Sacca, Lowercase Capital
Ahsun Saleem, Clippership International
Ted Sapountzis, Angel Investor
Eric Satz, TNCV Fund
Toni Schneider, True Ventures
Andrew Schoen, New Enterprise Associates
Jason Schoettler, Shea Ventures
Christopher M. Schroeder, Venture Investor
Jonathan Seelig, Globespan Capital Partners
Rishi Shah, Jumpstart Ventures
KJ Singh, Techstars
Jim Stewart, True Ventures
Tim Streit, Huron River Ventures
Mike Stubler, Draper Triangle Ventures
Brad Svrluga, High Peaks Venture Partners
Mark E. Swanson, Lane Five Ventures
Brett Topche, MentorTech Ventures
Brent S. Traidman, Fenox Venture Capital
Hunter Walk, Homebrew

Matt Walters, Ardent Capital

Dan Weisman, Advance Publications

Andrew Weissman, Union Square Ventures

Albert Wenger, Union Square Ventures

Boris Wertz, Version One Ventures

Andy White, Vegas Tech Fund

Fred Wilson, Union Square Ventures

Josh Wray, Angel Investor

Sam Yagan, Corazon Capital LLC

Namek Zu'bi, Silicon Badia

Published by [Google Drive](#) – [Report Abuse](#) – Updated automatically every 5 minutes